

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9 SAN JOSE DIVISION

10 MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 COREL CORPORATION AND COREL INC.,

14 Defendants.

15 Case No. 5:15-cv-05836-EJD

16 **JURY NOTES**

17 AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

PRESIDING: JUDGE EDWARD J. DAVILA

Case No. 5:15-cv-05836-EJD

Case Title: Microsoft v Corel

NOTE FROM THE JURY DURING DELIBERATIONS

Date: 2/9/18

Time: 4:55pm

Note No. 1

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict. [Please mark] ()

or

2. The Jury has the following question:

① When did Ability stop developing
for COREL? Share dates?

② Is it legally binding on date of
submission of patent (both design and
utility)?

DATE: 2/9/18

Stahelin
Signature of Juror

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

PRESIDING: JUDGE EDWARD J. DAVILA

Case No. 5:15cv05836-EJD

Case Title: Microsoft v Corel

RESPONSE TO JURY NOTE NO. 1

In response to question (1), there is no such date in the record.

In response to question (2), the Court cannot answer without additional clarity.

DATE: 2/9/2018

TIME: 5:25pm



Edward J. Davila
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

PRESIDING: JUDGE EDWARD J. DAVILA

Case No. 5:15-cv-05836-EJD

Case Title: Microsoft v Corel

NOTE FROM THE JURY DURING DELIBERATIONS

Date: 2/9/18

Time: 4:56 pm

Note No. 2

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict. [Please mark] ()

or

2. The Jury has the following question:

When calculating damages, if using the disgorgement of profits approach, is it necessary to use profits or may we use revenue.

DATE: 2/9/18



Signature of Juror

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

PRESIDING: JUDGE EDWARD J. DAVILA

Case No. 5:15cv05836-EJD

Case Title: Microsoft v Corel

RESPONSE TO JURY NOTE NO. 2

Please refer to final jury instruction no. 21.

DATE: 2/9/2018

TIME: 5:18pm



Edward J. Davila
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

PRESIDING: JUDGE EDWARD J. DAVILA

Case No. 5:15-cv-05836-EJD

Case Title: Microsoft v Corel

NOTE FROM THE JURY DURING DELIBERATIONS

Date: 2/13/18

Time: 9:40

Note No. 3

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict. [Please mark]

or

2. The Jury has the following question:

For questions #5 and #6, is there a time constraint? That is, should the willful infringement be based on the notice date we decided in questions #1-#3? Or at any time period in this case?

DATE: 2/13/18

Clare
Signature of Juror

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

PRESIDING: JUDGE EDWARD J. DAVILA

Case No. 5:15cv05836-EJD

Case Title: Microsoft v Corel

RESPONSE TO JURY NOTE NO. 3

To the question "should the willful infringement be based on the notice date we decided in questions 1 through 3" the answer is NO.

DATE: 2/13/2018

TIME: 10:05 am



Edward J. Davila
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

PRESIDING: JUDGE EDWARD J. DAVILA

Case No. 5:15-cv-05836-EJD

Case Title: Microsoft v Corel

NOTE FROM THE JURY DURING DELIBERATIONS

Date: FEB 13

Time: 10:00 AM

Note No. 4

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict. [Please mark] ()

or

2. The Jury has the following question:

STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS, IS 6 yrs
CORRECT? THAT WOULD BE THE START OF 2016?

OR, IS THAT THE START OF THE PATENT SUBMISSION?

OR, PATENT APPROVAL?

DATE: FEB 13

JOSH SA
Signature of Juror

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

PRESIDING: JUDGE EDWARD J. DAVILA

Case No. 5:15cv05836-EJD

Case Title: Microsoft v Corel

RESPONSE TO JURY NOTE NO. 4

You need not consider statute of limitations, it is not relevant to the questions you are being asked.

DATE: 2/13/2018

TIME: 10:30AM



Edward J. Davila
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

PRESIDING: JUDGE EDWARD J. DAVILA

Case No. 5:15-cv-05836-EJD

Case Title: Microsoft v Corel

NOTE FROM THE JURY DURING DELIBERATIONS

Date: 2/13/18

Time: 11:21

Note No. 5

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict. [Please mark] (X)

or

2. The Jury has the following question:

DATE: 2/13/18



Signature of Juror